# Calaveras Educational Transitions School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
  office.

## **About This School**

#### Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Calaveras Educational Transitions			
Street	501 Gold Strike Road			
City, State, Zip	San Andreas, CA 95249			
Phone Number	209-754-2123			
Principal	Fred Mier			
E-mail Address	fmier@calaveras.k12.ca.us			
CDS Code	05-61564-0530139			

<b>District Contact Infor</b>	District Contact Information				
District Name Calaveras Unified					
Phone Number	209-754-2300				
Superintendent	Mark Campbell				
E-mail Address	mcampbell@calaveras.k12.ca.us				
Web Site	www.calaveras.k12.c.us				

#### School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Calaveras Educational Transitions is a district Community Day school enrolling students 6-8 grade. The goal of CUSD Transition program is to provide all students with the academic and social tools needed to transfer to the district's comprehensive programs. We primarily serve 5th grade thru 8th grade students, who benefit from a smaller, more structured and contained school environment.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	2
Grade 7	6
Grade 8	10
Total Enrollment	18

#### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.6
Asian	0.0
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	5.6
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	72.2
Two or More Races	11.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66.7
English Learners	0.0
Students with Disabilities	16.7

# A. Conditions of Learning

## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### **Teacher Credentials**

<b>T</b>		District		
Teachers	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	1	1	0	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

## **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.00	0.00				
All Schools in District	98.55	1.45				
High-Poverty Schools in District	98.41	1.59				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: 1/9/12

Transition Community Day School utilizes standards based texts in all subject areas.

Books are replaced as CUSD adopts new texts.

Damaged books are replaced as needed.

Core Curriculum Area	ulum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption		Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	Prentice Hall 6/4/02	Yes	0%	
Mathematics	Prentice Hall 2/19/02	Yes	0%	
Science Holt, Rinehart, Winston 12/01/01		Yes	0%	
History-Social Science	History Alive! 4/18/06	Yes	0%	

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The district Maintenance and Operations Department continuously utilizes its resources to keep up with vandalism and all repairs to ensure the health and safety of our students.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 12/22/14							
Contains longer and d	Repair Status			Repair Needed and			
System Inspected	Good Fair Poor		Poor	Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	Severail stained ceiling tiles Tiles to be replaced			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]				
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]				

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 12/22/14								
Contain Insuranted	Repair Status			Repair Needed and				
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]					

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)** 

Overell Betime	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

## **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	24	15		62	57	64	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	64
All Student at the School	
Male	
Female	
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School		District			State			
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	22	24	10	53	54	50	54	56	55
Mathematics	9	19	10	49	51	46	49	50	50
History-Social Science		31	8	46	46	45	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## **Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)**

API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Statewide	1		
Similar Schools			

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

Crown	Actual API Change					
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13			
All Students at the School	В					
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

## **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
7								

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)**

The CUSD Alt. Ed. program recognizes that education is a partnership amongst student, parent, school and community. We have an open door policy for all of our partners in education. Parents, and community are invited to observe, participate in student activities.

## **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

	School			District			State		
Rate	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	45.2	11.1	24.0	7.8	7.3	7.7	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

## **School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)**

The school safety plan is reviewed and updated as needed.

## **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall		
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts		
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics		
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts		
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics		

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 2	Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		5
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		62.5

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

<sup>\*</sup> DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)** 

		201	1-12			201	2-13			201	3-14	
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms
,	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	17	1	0	0	7	1			16	1		
Mathematics	17	1	0	0	7	1			16	1		
Science	17	1	0	0	7	1			16	1		
Social Science	17	1	0	0	7	1			16	1	·	

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.05	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		
Psychologist	.05	
Social Worker		
Nurse	.05	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		
Resource Specialist		
Other		

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	0	0	0	0
District			0	0
Percent Difference: School Site and District			0	0
State			0	0
Percent Difference: School Site and State			0	0

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,236	\$38,920
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$54,492	\$59,803
Highest Teacher Salary	\$78,471	\$78,096
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$95,234	\$95,836
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$113,700	\$99,849
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$121,977	\$107,599
Superintendent Salary	\$145,786	\$151,912
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39	37
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	6

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

## **Professional Development**

Staff is encouraged to conferences, workshops, and trainings for the purpose of bettering classroom instruction. As of 2011/12 school year ELA, and MATH instruction has been double blocked. NWEA computer based ELA/MATH/ Science assessment program has been purchased along with Compass Learning to provide enhanced learning support, and opportunities for students with greatest need. Furthermore, all instructional decisions are based on local, and state assessments.